

---

**August 21, 2019**

**~ Agenda ~**

**Wednesday 5:20 PM**

---

*Meeting Times are subject to change based on discussion from previous meetings.*

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

Meg Forney	Chair, Commissioner At Large
Jono Cowgill	Vice Chair, Commissioner District 4
LaTrisha Vetaw	Commissioner At Large
Chris Meyer	Commissioner District 1
Kale Severson	Commissioner District 2

**II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

**III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Wednesday, July 17, 2019

Wednesday, August 07, 2019

**IV. ACTION ITEMS**

4.1 That the Board adopt Resolution 2019-283 captioned as follows:

**Resolution 2019-283**

That the Board Take from the Table Resolution 2019-283 Resolution Approving the Charge and Slate of a Community Advisory Committee for Parks for All, the Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board 2021 Comprehensive Plan

**V. STUDY/REPORT ITEM**

5.1 US Army Corps of Engineers Disposition Study for the Upper Saint Anthony Lock and Dam

**VI. ADJOURNMENT**



# Planning Committee

## Committee Meeting

2117 West River Road N  
Minneapolis, MN 55411  
[www.minneapolisparcs.org](http://www.minneapolisparcs.org)

July 17, 2019

~ Minutes ~

Wednesday 5:20 PM

### I. CALL TO ORDER

The time being 6:55 PM, Chair, Commissioner At Large Meg Forney called the meeting to order.

President Bourn Appointed Commissioner Hassan to serve as a July 17 Planning Committee member.

Chair, Commissioner At Large Meg Forney: Present, Vice Chair, Commissioner District 4 Jono Cowgill: Present, Commissioner At Large LaTrisha Vetaw: Present, Commissioner District 1 Chris Meyer: Present, Commissioner District 2 Kale Severson: Absent, Commissioner District 3 AK Hassan: Present.

### II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

<b>RESULT:</b>	<b>ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]</b>
<b>MOVER:</b>	LaTrisha Vetaw, Commissioner At Large
<b>AYES:</b>	Forney, Cowgill, Vetaw, Meyer, Hassan
<b>ABSENT:</b>	Kale Severson

### III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Planning Committee - Committee Meeting - Jul 10, 2019 5:50 PM

<b>RESULT:</b>	<b>ACCEPTED [4 TO 0]</b>
<b>MOVER:</b>	LaTrisha Vetaw, Commissioner At Large
<b>AYES:</b>	Meg Forney, Jono Cowgill, LaTrisha Vetaw, Chris Meyer
<b>ABSTAIN:</b>	AK Hassan
<b>ABSENT:</b>	Kale Severson

### IV. ACTION ITEMS

4.1 That the Board adopt Resolution 2019-283 captioned as follows:

**Resolution 2019-283**

Minutes Acceptance: Minutes of Jul 17, 2019 5:20 PM (APPROVAL OF MINUTES)

Resolution Approving the Charge and Slate of a Community Advisory Committee for Parks for All, the Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board 2021 Comprehensive Plan

Commissioner Vetaw moved Resolution 2019-283. Commissioner Hassan moved to Table Resolution 2019-283.

**RESULT:** TABLED [4 TO 1]  
**MOVER:** AK Hassan, Commissioner District 3  
**AYES:** Jono Cowgill, LaTrisha Vetaw, Chris Meyer, AK Hassan  
**NAYS:** Meg Forney  
**ABSENT:** Kale Severson

## V. STUDY/REPORT ITEM

Motion to add a Study Report Item regarding Parks for All, the Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board 2021 Comprehensive Plan.

**RESULT:** ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]  
**MOVER:** Chris Meyer, Commissioner District 1  
**AYES:** Forney, Cowgill, Vetaw, Meyer, Hassan  
**ABSENT:** Kale Severson

5.1 Report regarding Parks for All, the Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board 2021 Comprehensive Plan.

## VI. ADJOURNMENT

**RESULT:** ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]  
**MOVER:** LaTrisha Vetaw, Commissioner At Large  
**AYES:** Forney, Cowgill, Vetaw, Meyer, Hassan  
**ABSENT:** Kale Severson

Committee Meeting adjourned at 7:15 PM

August 7, 2019

~ Minutes ~

Wednesday 5:10 PM

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

The time being 5:56 PM, Chair, Commissioner At Large Meg Forney called the meeting to order.

Chair, Commissioner At Large Meg Forney: Present, Vice Chair, Commissioner District 4 Jono Cowgill: Present, Commissioner At Large LaTrisha Vetaw: Present, Commissioner District 1 Chris Meyer: Present, Commissioner District 2 Kale Severson: Present.

**II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Approved as Amended

<b>RESULT:</b>	<b>ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]</b>
<b>MOVER:</b>	Chris Meyer, Commissioner District 1
<b>AYES:</b>	Forney, Cowgill, Vetaw, Meyer, Severson

2.1 Motion to Amend Agenda: That the Board Take from the Table Resolution 2019-283

**III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

**IV. PUBLIC HEARING 6:00 p.m. TIME CERTAIN**

4.1 Above the Falls Regional Park Master Plan Public Hearing

Chair Forney Opened the Public Hearing.

Mary Maguire, spoke on behalf of Above the Falls Citizen Advisory Committee requesting that the master plan boundary be amended.

Alexis Pennie 24xx Logan Ave N. Spoke on behalf of Above the Falls Citizen Advisory Committee requested that the Above the Falls Regional Master Plan be revised to have the parkway be adjacent to the riverfront.

Suasan Vikse 23xx River Pt. Circle. Spoke on behalf of Above the Falls Citizen Advisory Committee spoke in regards to the Mississippi River Corridor Critical Area.

Keith Olstad 1xx Valleyview Pl. President Minneapolis Audubon chapter, spoke in regards to bird migration and expressed concerns regarding proposed beacon.

Minutes Acceptance: Minutes of Aug 7, 2019 5:10 PM (APPROVAL OF MINUTES)

Constance Pepin Friends of Roberts Bird Sanctuary. Spoke in regards to bird migration and requested that designs be bird safe.

Phyllis Kahn. Spoke in regards to bird migration and pollinator plants.

Being no additional present wishing to speak, Chair Forney Closed the Public Hearing.

The time being 6:28 PM chair Forney recessed the Planning Committee for the purpose of convening the regular meeting.

The time being 9:17 PM chair Forney reconvened the Planning Committee.

**V. ACTION ITEMS**

5.1 That the Board adopt Resolution 2019-289 captioned as follows:

**Resolution 2019-289**

Resolution Approving the 2019 Above the Falls Regional Park Master Plan

Commission Meyer moved to postpone Resolution 2019-089. Approved on a voice vote.

**RESULT: POSTPONED**

5.2 That the Board adopt Resolution 2019-290 captioned as follows:

**Resolution 2019-290**

Resolution Granting Temporary Access and Construction Easements to Ryan Companies Us, Inc. and Arcadia on the River, LLC at 1101 West River Parkway in Central Mississippi Riverfront Regional Park

**RESULT: ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]**  
**MOVER:** LaTrisha Vetaw, Commissioner At Large  
**AYES:** Forney, Cowgill, Vetaw, Meyer, Severson

5.3 That the Board adopt Resolution 2019-291 captioned as follows:

**Resolution 2019-291**

Resolution Approving Encroachment Permit for Use of 68.00 Square Feet of Land in Front of the Subject Property at 2323 East Lake of the Isles Parkway, Encroaching Upon Parkland at East Lake of the Isles Parkway Within Minneapolis Chain of Lakes Regional Park, and Collecting Appropriate Fees Associated with This Encroachment

Minutes Acceptance: Minutes of Aug 7, 2019 5:10 PM (APPROVAL OF MINUTES)

**RESULT:**           **ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]**  
**MOVER:**           Jono Cowgill, Vice Chair, Commissioner District 4  
**AYES:**             Forney, Cowgill, Vetaw, Meyer, Severson

5.4     That the Board adopt Resolution 2019-283 captioned as follows:

**Resolution 2019-283**

Resolution Approving the Charge and Slate of a Community Advisory Committee for Parks for All, the Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board 2021 Comprehensive Plan

Motion to Table Approved on a Roll Call Vote.

**RESULT:**           **TABLED [3 TO 2]**  
**MOVER:**           Kale Severson, Commissioner District 2  
**AYES:**             Jono Cowgill, Chris Meyer, Kale Severson  
**NAYS:**             Meg Forney, LaTrisha Vetaw

**VI.     STUDY/REPORT ITEM**

**VII.    ADJOURNMENT**

**RESULT:**           **ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]**  
**MOVER:**           LaTrisha Vetaw, Commissioner At Large  
**AYES:**             Forney, Cowgill, Vetaw, Meyer, Severson

Committee Meeting adjourned at 9:30 PM

Minutes Acceptance: Minutes of Aug 7, 2019 5:10 PM (APPROVAL OF MINUTES)



## Resolution 2019-283

That the Board Take from the Table Resolution 2019-283 Resolution Approving the Charge and Slate of a Community Advisory Committee for Parks for All, the Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board 2021 Comprehensive Plan

Whereas, The Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board (MPRB) is the steward of the Minneapolis park system;

Whereas, MPRB was created by the Minnesota Legislature in April 1883 and has the authority to manage and operate park facilities;

Whereas, MPRB has a Comprehensive Plan that sets the policy direction for the agency;

Whereas, The MPRB Comprehensive Plan and the organization's commitment to implementing it ensure the Minneapolis park and recreation system will continue to be essential to quality of life in Minneapolis, and play a vital part in supporting the health and well-being of Minneapolis residents and visitors;

Whereas, The MPRB Comprehensive Plan needs to be updated by the end of 2020;

Whereas, On April 17, 2019, MPRB staff submitted a Community Engagement Assessment and draft Community Engagement Plan that recommends establishing an appointed Community Advisory Committee (CAC) because the project will create a new public policy; and

Whereas, The MPRB desires broad representation and participation in the planning, development, and evaluation of public policies;

Whereas, In conformance with the MPRB's Community Engagement Policy, staff has assembled a slate of candidates for the CAC and a CAC charge for the project, these candidates being in addition to nine CAC members appointed by MPRB Commissioners;

Whereas, MPRB Code of Ordinances PB-11.4 states that the "Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board shall cause a community advisory committee to be created;" and

Whereas, This resolution is supported by the MPRB 2007-2020 Comprehensive Plan, which envisions "Recreation that inspires personal growth, healthy lifestyles, and a sense of community" and "Dynamic parks that shape city character and meet diverse community needs;"



RESOLVED, That the Board of Commissioners approves the charge and slate of a Community Advisory Committee for Parks for All, the MPRB 2021 Comprehensive Plan:

CAC Charge

The Parks for All Community Advisory Committee shall:

- become knowledgeable about the MPRB Comprehensive Plan and process,
- understand and represent the park and recreation needs of the community and park visitors,
- act as community liaisons for the project,
- help identify communities, organizations, user groups, populations and others that should be consulted in the engagement process,
- provide feedback on a draft plan,
- help identify values, strengths and needs within the Minneapolis Park system and within their communities,
- provide insight on the long-term policy direction of MPRB,
- report back to appointers or appointing bodies, as requested, on the plan process, information presented, and possible recommendations, and
- engage in working groups and subcommittees as needed.

CAC Slate, which is in addition to nine members appointed by MPRB Commissioners

<b>Community Advisory Committee:</b>	
<b>Committee Recommended Slate</b>	<b>Board Approved Slate</b>
Mira Klein	
David Hartwell	
Gerrod Williams	
Lolita Davis Cater	
Zev Radziwill	
Yuan Cheng	
Eleanor Scarbarus	
Lynne Crocket	
Alt: Jenel Farrel	
Alt: David Motzenbecker	
Alt: Mary McKelvey	
Alt: Lisa Marie Thiel	

RESOLVED, That the President of the Board and Secretary to the Board are authorized to take all necessary administrative actions to implement this resolution.





TO: Planning Committee

FROM: Michael Schroeder,

DATE: August 21, 2019

SUBJECT: That the Board Take from the Table Resolution 2019-283 Resolution Approving the Charge and Slate of a Community Advisory Committee for Parks for All, the Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board 2021 Comprehensive Plan

---

## BACKGROUND

This action would approve a Community Advisory Committee (CAC) charge and slate to guide Parks for All, the MPRB 2021 Comprehensive Plan. MPRB has launched its comprehensive planning process. Parks for All, the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board Comprehensive Plan, will be taking place through 2020 to set the priorities and policy direction for the next decade of Minneapolis Parks. This planning process will involve community, MPRB staff, and commissioners in shaping the future direction of Minneapolis' park and recreation system.

MPRB staff submitted a Community Engagement Assessment and draft Community Engagement Plan (*Attachment A*) on April 17, 2019. The Assessment and Plan recommend establishing a CAC because the project will establish a public policy and vision for the next decade of Minneapolis parks and recreation.

In accordance with the MPRB's Community Engagement Policy and the project's Community Engagement Assessment and Community Engagement Plan, staff has made efforts to recruit CAC applicants that represent the broad diversity of Minneapolis and park users. The CAC Selection Committee offers its recommendation for participation on the CAC as demonstrated through the slate with the CAC Composition Goals in mind.

The CAC Composition Goals are as follows:

<b>CAC Composition Goals:</b>	Appointers and the CAC Selection Committee should appoint individuals that represent one or more of the identities/perspectives listed below to ensure diversity on the CAC. This is not an exhaustive or conclusive list, and categories should be based on self-identification, and not assumption.
Racial/Ethnic Diversity	White, African American, Hmong, Lao, East African, SE Asian, American Indian,



Generational Diversity	Youth and Seniors
Socioeconomic Diversity	Renter, below the poverty line, people experiencing homelessness
Linguistic Diversity	ASL, people that speak a language other than English at home
Diversity of interests	Recreation, youth programming, Climate resilience, safety and security, active transportation, food systems, geographical diversity
People with Disabilities	People with mental, emotional, and physical disabilities
Geographic Diversity	A balance of representatives from all service areas in the city
Political Experience Diversity	A balance of new voices and participants that have experience serving on boards, commissions, CACs, and committees.

The proposed slate was selected by a committee of MPRB staff and volunteer members of the community. The selection committee considered the whole composition of the CAC in making their decisions, to ensure equitable representation in the CAC. In addition to the slate, nine additional CAC members will be appointed by MPRB Commissioners. Those nine are individual appointments—one for each commissioner—and are not subject to review nor Board vote. They are therefore not included in this action. The proposed slate includes several alternates, which will be seated on the slate should a Commissioner happen to directly appoint someone selected for the slate. The alternates will not continue as alternates throughout the project.

It is expected that Parks for All will come before the Board in a public hearing and for approval in late-2020.

## FUNDING

Sources	Amount	Expiration
General Fund	\$500,000	2021
Uses		
Consultants	\$100,000	
Youth Design Team	\$75,000	
Community Engagement Tools and Events	\$25,000	
MPRB Staff Time	\$300,000	



## RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approving the charge and slate of a Community Advisory Committee for Parks for All, the MPRB 2021 Comprehensive Plan.

### CAC Charge

The Parks for All Community Advisory Committee shall:

- become knowledgeable about the MPRB Comprehensive Plan and process,
- understand and represent the park and recreation needs of the community and park visitors,
- act as community liaisons for the project,
- help identify communities, organizations, user groups, populations and others that should be consulted in the engagement process,
- provide feedback on a draft plan,
- help identify values, strengths and needs within the Minneapolis Park system and within their communities,
- provide insight on the long-term policy direction of MPRB,
- report back to appointers or appointing bodies, as requested, on the plan process, information presented, and possible recommendations, and
- engage in working groups and subcommittees as needed.

CAC Slate, which is in addition to nine members appointed by MPRB Commissioners

<b>Community Advisory Committee:</b>	
<b>Committee Recommended Slate</b>	<b>Board Approved Slate</b>
Mira Klein	
David Hartwell	
Gerrod Williams	
Lolita Davis Cater	
Zev Radziwill	
Yuan Cheng	
Eleanor Scarbarus	
Lynne Crocket	



Alt: Jenel Farrel	
Alt: David Motzenbecker	
Alt: Mary McKelvey	
Alt: Lisa Marie Thiel	

This action is supported by the following vision and goal statements in the MPRB 2007-2020 Comprehensive Plan:

**Vision Theme 2:** Recreation that inspires personal growth, healthy lifestyles, and a sense of community.

**Goal:** Residents, visitors, and workers enjoy opportunities to improve health and fitness.

**Vision Theme 3:** Dynamic parks that shape city character and meet diverse community needs.

**Goal:** Parks shape an evolving city.

**Goal:** Park facility renewal and development respects history and focuses on sustainability, accessibility, flexibility, and beauty.

**Vision Theme 4:** A safe place to play, recreate, contemplate, and celebrate.

**Goal:** Parks are safe and welcoming by design.

**Goal:** Communities, public and private partners, and staff cooperate to promote safety.

**HISTORY:**

07/17/19                      Planning Committee                      TABLED

Commissioner Vetaw moved Resolution 2019-283. Commissioner Hassan moved to Table Resolution 2019-283.

08/07/19                      Planning Committee                      TABLED

Motion to Table Approved on a Roll Call Vote.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

- Attachment A - Parks for All Community Engagement Plan\_070219                      (PDF)

Prepared By: Carrie Christensen, Senior Planner, Strategic Planning

Review:

Cindy Anderson	Completed	07/03/2019 11:29 AM
Adam Arvidson	Completed	07/03/2019 1:24 PM
Michael Schroeder	Completed	07/07/2019 4:10 PM
Jennifer Ringold	Completed	07/07/2019 5:36 PM



Al Bangoura	Completed	07/08/2019 9:30 AM
Planning Committee	Completed	07/17/2019 5:20 PM
Planning Committee	Completed	08/07/2019 5:10 PM
Planning Committee	Pending	08/21/2019 5:20 PM



Date of Board P+C: 4/17/19

Date of Board Approval (for CAC's only): 7/17/19

Date of Most Recent Update: 7/2/19

**Staff Lead:** Carrie Christensen

**Department or Division:** Strategic Planning

**Project Name:** Parks for All, MPRB 2021 Comprehensive Plan

**Engagement Level:** Involve (See Engagement Assessment attached)

This plan serves as a guide for the community engagement process for Parks for All, the *Minneapolis Comprehensive Plan*. The plan may be modified as circumstance warrants during project duration. Substantial modifications are to be communicated to stakeholders and the MPRB Board of Commissioners.

As required by the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board Community Engagement Policy, this project requires a Community Engagement Plan because the project falls under the *involve* category of community engagement for which MPRB is required to obtain stakeholder feedback on project, initiative, or program analysis, alternatives, or decisions. This CE Plan was used with a GARE Racial Equity Tool Kit framework.

**Key Stakeholders should be engaged in the creation of this plan. Please explain how they were engaged:**

MPRB staff convened two sessions in April 2019 to review the draft CE Plan with community, cultural, and neighborhood organizations. The invite to the session went out to all the neighborhood organizations in the city and to 200+ other cultural and community-based organizations that work in Minneapolis. The draft will be updated when the CAC slate is approved with the additional engagement ideas generated in the sessions.

## 1. Project Description

### 1a. Project Overview:

The last MPRB Comprehensive Plan was approved in 2007 and set a vision through 2020. Parks for All is the next MPRB Comprehensive Plan, which will guide the Minneapolis park and recreation system for the next decade.

The MPRB Comprehensive Plan guides everything done by the MPRB. It articulates why the MPRB exists, identifies how the MPRB performs its work, and describes what the MPRB hopes to become.

The Comprehensive Plan is as much process as product. Community engagement will be central to the development of the policy direction, but the process contains important opportunities to do community

building around the park system. For more information about the project, visit [www.minneapolisparcs.org/parksforall](http://www.minneapolisparcs.org/parksforall).

**1b. MPRB Outcomes** (What goals, strategies, or values in the MPRB Comprehensive Plan does this project, program, or initiative relate to?):

The current MPRB Comprehensive Plan is valid through the end of 2020, therefore Parks for All needs to put new priorities and be adopted by the Board of Commissioners by 2021.

**1c. Project Timeline:**

Scoping: Winter-Spring 2019

Vision and Discovery: Spring 2019-2020

Review: Summer 2020

Approval: Fall 2020

Implementation: 2021-2028

**1d. Project Funding:**

<u>Capital Sources</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Expiration</u>
General Fund	\$500,000	2021

**2. Project Data:**

**2a. What are the boundaries of the community engagement area?** (For regional facilities include neighborhoods adjacent to the park and city/regional boundaries)

The entire park system is in the community engagement area including the city of Minneapolis and any park properties that fall outside of Minneapolis. There are also regional parks in the system that draw from across the seven county metro area and the state, but for the purposes of community engagement, the project will set goals around the demographics of the City of Minneapolis.

**2b. What are the demographics of the community engagement area?** (Please refer to percentages of the population when possible and note the sources of the data.)

Source: MN Compass (year of data source)

Almost 20% of the population in Minneapolis is under 18 years old (2013-17).

*Almost 10% of the population is over 65, but this number is rapidly on the rise (2013-17).*

*Race and ethnicity for the City of Minneapolis: (2013-16):*

- *White 60%*
- *Pacific Islander or Asian 6%*
- *Black or African American 19%*
- *American Indian 1%*
- *Hispanic or Latino 10%*

*20% of people in the City live below the poverty line.*

*53% of residents in the City are renters.*

*22% of the population speaks a language other than English at home.*

**2c. List any key findings or excerpts from relevant plans or policies that are informing this project, program or initiative, especially if community was engaged in the policy or plan:** *The purpose of community research is to collect data that will best inform specific project decisions or strategic direction and support the policy goals of effective community engagement. Research completed in advance of and during project development may include review of previously completed directives or mandates, master plans, community studies, industry trends and historical and demographic data. MPRB staff is responsible for determining the research data necessary to support and document decision-making for a project and building off prior community engaged planning, policy and design.*

Minneapolis 2040: The new City of Minneapolis Comprehensive Plan will be an important source of data and community preference for Parks for All. However, Parks for All is separate from the recent City of Minneapolis 2040 Comprehensive Plan. It solely covers the Minneapolis park and recreation system. The plan will build off other recent MPRB plans including service area master plans for neighborhood parks, master plans for regional parks, RecQuest, the Urban Agriculture Activity Plan, the Skateboard Activity Plan, and other plans and policies that have been developed by MPRB in recent years with community input. The plan will also do an inventory of the current MPRB Comprehensive Plan to determine what has been accomplished and what should carry forward to the next comprehensive plan.

**2d. What are the data gaps? What additional research needs to be done to understand the project stakeholders and project scope?**

What does community and staff think should be our priorities for the next decade of parks and recreation for our system as a whole? We have info on the service area level for the outdoor amenities, and system wide guidance on natural areas, recreation programmatic priorities, to name a few. The data gaps will be further articulated in the Scoping and Vision and Discovery stage of the process. The



Workgroups will dive into each topic area and make policy recommendations in addition to the other staff and community engagement efforts.

### 3. Community Engagement:

The MPRB supports the use of a variety of techniques to interact with and obtain information from stakeholders. Outreach and research tools and methods can be applied for a variety of reasons, including but not limited to the following:

- a. Evaluate success and measure community impact of existing programs, services or facilities.
- b. Gain stakeholder insight and perspective regarding development of a new program, service or facility.
- c. Proactively identify or explore park and recreation trends or ideas.
- d. Determine essential services to be provided for a community or park area.
- e. Query stakeholders when proposing or revising policy.
- f. Resolve persistent conflicts or problems.
- g. Educate or inform the public on proposed changes, initiatives and projects.
- h. Reflect on projects, programs and initiatives after adoption by the Board or report on how community input has been integrated.
- i. Learn the history of local context and community.

<b>Project Stakeholder</b> <i>(students, ethnic communities, neighborhood groups, community leaders)</i>	<b>Outreach: How will you reach out to the stakeholder?</b> <i>(i.e. go to parks, neighborhood listserv, engage with cultural media)</i>	<b>Engagement: How will they participate?</b> <i>(i. e. online survey, focus group, community open house, intercept survey)</i>	<b>Reflecting Back: How will stakeholder groups be reflected back to about the project progress or outcomes?</b> <i>(Posted on project website, ribbon cutting, e-blast, site visit, celebration)</i>
Neighborhood Organizations	Community Collaborator, online, attend meetings and events, and recommend CAC appointees	Neighborhood organizations can apply for small grants to convene their own conversations about parks through the Community Collaborator program. They will also be asked to help select the recommended CAC slate, and to engage their community in all public meetings.	All organizations will be given updates at key project milestones and anyone that signs up for the e-list will receive at least monthly updates via email. The project website will also be updated regularly, as well as the Facebook page.
Cultural organizations and diverse cultural communities	Community Collaborator RFP, online, attend	Cultural organizations can apply for small grants to convene their	All organizations will be given updates at key project milestones and

	meetings and events in the community, and recommend CAC appointees	own conversations about parks through the Community Collaborator program. They will also be asked to help select the recommended CAC slate, and to engage their community in all public meetings.	anyone that signs up for the e-list will receive at least monthly updates via email. The project website will also be updated regularly, as well as the Facebook page.
Youth	Youth Design Team, schools, Park-based after school programs youth and parents, sports leagues	Hire youth to work on the planning process with staff and to meet with the CAC.	The YDT will be asked to stay engaged through plan adoption.
Non-Park Users and Minneapolis residents	Community events and tabling	Survey onsite engagement tools	Anyone that signs up for the e-list will receive at least monthly updates via email. The project website will also be updated regularly, as well as the Facebook page. Engagement will occur through plan adoption.
Park Users	Park events and engagement at various sports leagues and youth programs	Survey and onsite engagement tools	Anyone that signs up for the e-list will receive at least monthly updates via email. The project website will also be updated regularly, as well as the Facebook page. Engagement will occur through plan adoption.
Seniors/Elders	Park events, community events, senior housing and support org meetings	Survey, focus group conversation, and onsite engagement tools	Anyone that signs up for the e-list will receive at least monthly updates via email. The project website will also be updated regularly, as well as the Facebook page. Engagement will occur through plan adoption.

**3a. Advisory Committees:**

<b>Technical Advisory Committee:</b> This roster is a list of agencies and groups that are on the TAC
These will be formed as topical workgroups in summer of 2019. The TAC roster will be updated at this time.

<b>Project Advisory Committee:</b> This roster is a list of MPRB departments and divisions that are on the PAC
Community Outreach
Strategic Planning
Recreation
Youth Programming
Asset Management
IT
Customer Service
HR
Deputy Superintendent
Police

**3b. Will a Community Advisory Committee be required for this project, program, or initiative? Yes**

If yes, complete the following section.

**CAC Charge:**

- become knowledgeable about the MPRB Comprehensive Plan and process,
- understand and represent the park and recreation needs of the community and park visitors,
- act as community liaisons for the project,
- help identify communities, organizations, user groups, populations and others that should be consulted in the engagement process,
- provide feedback on a draft plan,
- help identify values, strengths and needs within the Minneapolis Park system and within their communities,
- provide insight on the long-term policy direction of MPRB,

- report back to appointers or appointing bodies, as requested, on the plan process, information presented, and possible recommendations, and
- engage in working groups and subcommittees as needed.

<b>CAC Composition Goals:</b>	Appointers and the CAC Selection Committee should appoint individuals that represent one or more of the identities/perspectives listed below to ensure diversity on the CAC. This is not an exhaustive or conclusive list, and categories should be based on self- identification, and not assumption.
Racial/Ethnic Diversity	White, African American, Hmong, Lao, East African, SE Asian, American Indian,
Generational Diversity	Youth and Seniors
Socioeconomic Diversity	Renter, below the poverty line, people experiencing homelessness
Linguistic Diversity	ASL, people that speak a language other than English at home
Diversity of interests	Recreation, youth programming, Climate resilience, safety and security, active transportation, food systems, geographical diversity
People with Disabilities	People with mental, emotional, and physical disabilities
Geographic Diversity	A balance of representatives from all service areas in the city
Political Experience Diversity	A balance of new voices and participants that have experience serving on boards, commissions, CACs, and committees.

<b>CAC Selection Committee Roster:</b> <i>This roster of groups and individuals that are participating in the CAC appointment process for the project, program, or initiative</i>
Open call to neighborhood organizations
MPRB Project Advisory Team Subcommittee
Parks and Power invitation
Open call to cultural organizations
MPRB appointments for City Advisory Committees

<b>Community Advisory Committee:</b>	
<b>Committee Recommended Slate</b>	<b>Board Approved Slate</b>
Mira Klein	
David Hartwell	
Gerrod Williams	
Lolita Davis Cater	
Zev Radziwill	
Yuan Cheng	
Eleanor Scarbarus	
Lynne Crocket	
Alt: Jenel Farrel	
Alt: David Motzenbecker	
Alt: Mary McKelvey	
Alt: Lisa Marie Thiel	
<b>Board Individually Appointed CAC Members</b>	

Commissioner	Appointee
Bourn	
Cowgill	Hilary Lovelace
Meyer	Dan Miller
Forney	Sarah Walker
Severson	
Vetaw	
Hassan	
French	
Musich	

**4. Analysis:**

**4a. What questions will you be asking community to respond to in your outreach and engagement?**

What are your dreams and priorities for the next decade of Minneapolis parks and recreation?

Does the draft plan, when release, accurately reflect the input of community, staff, and commissioners?

**4b. How will your community engagement outreach, engagement, and methods make MPRB a more equitable system?**

This planning process is a chance to dream boldly about the future of the Minneapolis Park and Recreation system as a whole. It will ask us to identify priorities that have not been set yet, but also build off the good work of the last decade of planning and strategic thinking that has been underway at MPRB.

**5. Evaluation Summary:** *To be completed at one or more project milestones, and at the completion of the project, program, or initiative.*

**5a. Identify one or more key project milestones when project evaluation will be performed** (i.e. Draft design review, draft policy review, project mid-point)

- Once the CAC is appointed, the CE Plan will be updated (Complete)
- After the Scoping Period is over and the workgroup topics are set, the CE Plan will be updated.
- When the draft plan is released, the CE plan will be updated.

**5a. Who was engaged during the process?** (i.e. demographic info from online survey participants, the CAC, and community engagement whenever possible. Refer back to Section 2 in the CE Plan and how your engagement reflects the diversity of the community in the engagement area.)

**5b. How did the engagement inform the project outcome?** (i.e. public tabulation and amendments following a public comment period)

**5c. How did the project and engagement fulfill a goal or strategy in the MPRB Comprehensive Plan?**

**5d. Please describe any new or innovative engagement methods used during the process:**

**5e. What recommendations do you have for future engagement around this topic, park, or area?**



TO: Planning Committee

FROM: Michael Schroeder,

DATE: August 21, 2019

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers Disposition Study for the Upper Saint Anthony Lock and Dam

---

## BACKGROUND

In June 2015 and following approval of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014, the Upper Saint Anthony Falls Lock and Dam was closed to navigation. While watercraft would no longer use the lock, it would be managed by the US Army Corps of Engineers for flood mitigation. In October 2018, the US Army Corps of Engineers was directed to pursue a disposition study related to the locks in the Mississippi River within Minneapolis. Such as study examines the costs and benefits of continued operation of federal facilities that no longer serve their authorized purpose. The study began in April 2018 and, at this time, is focused on the Upper Saint Anthony Lock and Dam. The Lower Saint Anthony Lock and Dam and Lock and Dam One will be studied separately at another time.

The disposition study outcomes could range, in simplistic terms, from doing nothing to deauthorization and disposition of all or part of the Upper Saint Anthony Lock and Dam. It could also recommend the pursuit of other studies and investigations. The outcome study could influence the future of the Central Mississippi Riverfront Regional Park as well as ownership of lands and facilities currently owned by the federal government. A draft report is expected in Spring 2020.

Staff of the US Army Corps of Engineers will present an overview of the disposition process and status.

## RECOMMENDATION

This item is for discussion.

Prepared By: Cindy Anderson, Executive assistant for Planning Division, Strategic Planning

Review:

Cindy Anderson	Completed	08/13/2019 10:39 AM
Adam Arvidson	Skipped	08/13/2019 11:17 AM



Michael Schroeder	Completed	08/13/2019 11:17 AM
Jennifer Ringold	Completed	08/13/2019 2:53 PM
Al Bangoura	Completed	08/14/2019 4:12 PM
Planning Committee	Pending	08/21/2019 5:20 PM